

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/530,790	Applicant(s) KOSAKA, HIDEKO	
		Examiner DENNIS M. WHITE	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) DENNIS M. WHITE.

(2) DOUGLAS MUELLER, ATTORNEY.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00 PM

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/LYLE A ALEXANDER/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim amendment were discussed that would expedite prosecution and put the application in condition for allowance. Specifically the elements of a "test piece" are to be included in the independent claim, the elements of a "porous membrane" in claim 8 sufficiently meet this. Applicants agreed to incorporate this amendment in the independent claim. .